COMPLAINT

Case 2:11-cv-03807 D3F - JC Document 1 Filed 05/03/11 Page 1 of 24 Page ID #:26

Plaintiffs, for their Complaint against Defendants CBS Interactive Inc. ("CBS Interactive") and CNET Networks, Inc. ("CNET," collectively with CBS Interactive, the "CBS Defendants"), Lime Wire LLC ("LW"), and Lime Group LLC ("Lime Group," collectively with LW, the "LimeWire Defendants"), allege as follows:

SUMMARY OF THE ACTION

- 1. This action arises from Defendants' direct contribution to and participation in massive copyright infringement of Plaintiffs' works on peer-to-peer ("P2P") systems. LimeWire is the most notorious of these systems in recent years. LimeWire and its principals were found liable for copyright infringement on a virtually unprecedented scale in 2010 and enjoined from further infringing activities by a federal Court.
- The CBS Defendants have been the main distributor of LimeWire software and have promoted this and other P2P systems in order to directly profit from wide-scale copyright infringement. Internet users have downloaded more then 220 million copies of LimeWire software from the CBS Defendants' website, found at Download.com, since 2008. This consisted 95 percent or more of all copies of LimeWire that were downloaded until LimeWire was shut down by Court Order. Download.com also was a major source for other P2P software applications, including Morpheus (174 million downloads), iMesh (115 million downloads), and FrostWire (32 million downloads). The CBS Defendants received massive amounts of revenue from P2P providers on a "pay per download" basis and also from advertising revenues generated by advertisements placed on the download screen for P2P software. The CBS Defendants' business model has been so dependent upon P2P and file sharing applications that entire pages of Download.com are designed specifically to list and categorize these software offerings. In fact, the CBS Defendants were well aware that these software applications were used

28

27

1

3

6

12

13

17

 $\cdot 18$

19

20

21

22

23

15 16

17

19

18

20

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

overwhelming to infringe when they first partnered with LimeWire and other P2P providers, but ignored it in exchange for a steady stream of income.

- 3. The CBS Defendants have not just distributed and profited from software applications used to infringe copyrights on a massive scale. They also furnished articles and other content that explained how users could use P2P software to infringe. On cnet.com, Download.com, and other websites, the CBS Defendants offered videos, articles and other media that instructed how to use P2P software to locate pirated copies of copyrighted works and remove electronic protections placed on digital music files in order to prevent infringement.
- 4. Plaintiffs are artists who work in the fields of music and film. They wrote, produced, distributed, sold and/or licensed songs, movies and other copyrighted works that have been infringed by Defendants, including without limitation through Defendants' distribution and promotion of P2P software that has been used to copy and distribute Plaintiffs' works. Defendants must compensate Plaintiffs for the damages they caused and be ordered to cease future infringement.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims asserted herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 6. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because each resides and/or may be found in California, does systematic and continuous business in California, and has performed acts directed at and causing harm in California which give rise to this Complaint.
- 7. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), (c) and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(a).

PARTIES

8. Plaintiffs are the legal and beneficial owners of copyrighted works that have been infringed by Defendants.

12

13

11

14 15

17

18

19 20

2122

24

23

25

26 27

- 9. Plaintiff Alki David is a citizen of the United Kingdom and resident of the State of California.
- 10. Plaintiff Detron Bendross is a member of the rap group 2 Live Crew and a citizen and resident of the State of Florida.
- 11. Plaintiff Jerome Woods, professionally known as "ROME," is a citizen and resident of the State of California.
- 12. Plaintiff Trisco Smith-Pearson is a member of the R&B group The Force MDs and a citizen and resident of the State of New York.
- 13. Plaintiff Jeffrey Thompkins, professionally known as "JT Money," is a citizen and resident of the State of Florida.
- 14. Plaintiff Jonathan Shinhoster, professionally known as "J-Shin," is a citizen and resident of the State of Florida.
- 15. Plaintiff Spectacular Smith is a member of the R&B group Pretty Ricky and a citizen and resident of the State of Florida.
- 16. Plaintiff Diamond Smith, professionally known as "Baby Blue," is a member of the R&B group Pretty Ricky and a citizen and resident of the State of Florida.
- 17. Plaintiff Corey Mathis, professionally known as "Slick 'Em," is a member of the R&B group Pretty Ricky and a citizen and resident of the State of Florida.
- 18. Plaintiff Emmanuel Ramone DeAnda is a member of the R&B group Pretty Ricky and a citizen and resident of the State of Florida.
- 19. Plaintiff Eric Jackson, professionally known as "Kaine," is a member of the hip hop group Ying Yang Twins and a citizen and resident of the State of Georgia.
- 20. Plaintiff De'Angelo Holmes, professionally known as "D-Roc," is a member of the hip hop group Ying Yang Twins and a citizen and resident of the State of Georgia.

21[.]

- 21. Plaintiff Anthony Round, professionally known as "N.A.R.D.," is a member of the hip hop group Do Or Die and a citizen and resident of the State of Illinois.
- 22. Plaintiff Dennis Round is a member of the hip hop group Do Or Die and a citizen and resident of the State of Illinois.
- 23. Plaintiff Corey Johnson, professionally known as "Coldhard," is a member of the hip hop group Crucial Conflict and a citizen and resident of the State of Illinois.
- 24. Defendant CBS Interactive, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 235 Second Street, San Francisco, California 94105.
- 25. Defendant CNET Networks, Inc. is a Delaware corporation and a fully-owned subsidiary of CBS Interactive. CNET's principal place of business is 235 Second Street, San Francisco, California 94105.
- 26. Defendant Lime Group LLC is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business at 377 Broadway, 11th Floor, New York, New York 10013.
- 27. Defendant Lime Wire LLC is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business at 377 Broadway, 11th Floor, New York, New York, 10013, and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Defendant Lime Group LLC, with which it shares offices and officers/employees. Lime Wire LLC and Lime Group LLC directly and indirectly designed LimeWire and updated, improved, promoted, distributed and marketed LimeWire.
- 28. Each Defendant acted in concert with each other and as the principal, agent, or joint venture of, or for, other Defendants with respect to the acts, violations, and common course of copyright infringement alleged by Plaintiffs.

FACTS

P2P File Sharing Systems, Including LimeWire

- 29. P2P file sharing networks are systems which allow users to connect to one another and transfer files located on each other's hard drives. In order to participate in these networks, each user must download and install on the user's computer a software program—commonly known as a "client"—that facilitates the file transfers. Examples of P2P clients include Napster, Aimster, KaZaA, Grokster and LimeWire.
- 30. P2P clients provide an interface for users to search and obtain copies of files located on their respective file sharing networks. Depending on which P2P client is employed, users can filter results by type of file (e.g., audio or video), file name, artist and other identifying information. Many P2P clients, including those found liable in some of the most infamous copyright infringement cases of the past decade, are (or were) specifically designed to locate music files by name of the song or artist and are (or were) targeted at audiences well-known for their desire to infringe copyrights.
- 31. File sharing networks depend on users to actually "share" their files. P2P clients are specifically designed to facilitate this process. In most cases, the client automatically searches a user's computer for "shareable" files, typically audio and video files. Clients also often penalize users with slower download speeds or other decreased functionality if they do not share "enough" files with other users on the network. The purpose of this functionality is clear: users must share files if they wish to enjoy the full benefits of the P2P network, and the client will make all files available for sharing unless the user specifically opts out of this option.
- 32. As Napster's one-time success proved, there is a large demographic of internet users who seek to obtain free copies of their favorite music regardless of copyrights. The sheer size of this group demonstrated that P2P clients could generate massive revenues if they designed a user experience that expressly catered

16

17 18

19 20

21

23

24

25 26

-28

to copyright infringement, thereby drawing users to their advertisements and pay services. When Napster was shut down due to court-ordered injunction, numerous P2P clients stepped in to fill the void. These P2P clients, including but not limited to Aimster, Grokster, KaZaA, Morpheus and LimeWire, actively marketed themselves to Napster's former customers.

- 33. To use just the most recent P2P client found liable for copyright infringement, the LimeWire Defendants began providing their P2P network in or around August 2000. In order to attract users to their service, the LimeWire Defendants advertised on other P2P networks and made statements comparing LimeWire's user experience to other file sharing clients. Above and beyond mere advertisements, the LimeWire Defendants specifically designed their client to be highly efficient at finding and downloading copies of copyrighted sound recordings.
- There were two forms of the LimeWire software (updated in several versions over the years). The first was "LimeWire Basic," a free version of the P2P client. The second was "LimeWire PRO," which sold for approximately \$19 and ostensibly offered purchasers faster downloads. Both forms of LimeWire were compatible with each other, and users could share files with each other no matter with form of LimeWire they possessed.
- When a user first installed LimeWire, the program automatically 35. searched their hard drive for media files and made them available for other users to download via the P2P network. In order to ensure that the maximum number of files were "shareable" at any given time, LimeWire was designed to automatically open when a user started their computer. This meant that turning on one's computer automatically logged the user into the P2P network and made the selection of files across that network as vast as possible.
- Another method that the LimeWire Defendants employed to ensure the maximum amount of available files—thereby increasing LimeWire's reputation as a desirable copyright infringement tool—was to maximize the number of available

shared files by automatically saving them in a "shared" folder on the user's hard drive. If a user turned off this feature or opted to have their files saved in a non-shared folder, they were labeled a "freeloader" by the LimeWire software and ran the risk of being refused future downloads by other users who could choose to block sharing with freeloaders. The LimeWire Defendants actively discouraged freeloaders on their website, stating, for example, "If you're not sharing enough files, users with certain connection preferences won't let you connect to them for downloading. For this reason, we recommend all LimeWire users share generously with one another." In other words, share files or you will not be able to infringe as easily.

37. The LimeWire Defendants also designed LimeWire's interface to

- 37. The LimeWire Defendants also designed LimeWire's interface to maximize users' ability to quickly locate and obtain copies of copyrighted materials. Users could search by music genre, song name, artist name or album name. When searches yielded multiple sources for the same copyrighted materials, LimeWire displayed the connection speed of each source (*i.e.*, how fast that user's internet connection was) so that the searching user could choose the fastest download option. Using these features in combination, LimeWire users were able to locate and download copyrighted sound recordings in the shortest amount of time possible.
- 38. On May 25, 2010, United States District Judge Kimba Wood found LimeWire liable for massive copyright infringement. Later that same year, Judge Wood permanently enjoined LimeWire from all further infringement activities. In doing so, the Court found that, among other things:
 - LimeWire "intentionally encouraged direct infringement" by its users;
 - the LimeWire software application was used "overwhelmingly for infringement" and allowed for infringement on a "massive scale";
 - LimeWire and its principals knew about "the substantial infringement being committed" by LimeWire users;

:8

- LimeWire marketed itself to Napster users, who were known copyright infringers, and promoted LimeWire's infringing capabilities to those users;
- LimeWire employed a business model that depended on mass infringement, relying on "massive user population generated by" the LimeWire software's "infringement-enabling features"; and
- LimeWire "actively assisted infringing users" in their infringement efforts and tested the LimeWire client software by searching for copyrighted material.
- 39. Of the many P2P clients that remain in existence, most include features nearly identical or identical to those found in LimeWire. FrostWire, as just one example, is an open source P2P client developed from the LimeWire developmental source code by a group of "concerned [members] of LimeWire's open source community" who first sought to "protect" the LimeWire code in 2005, after the Supreme Court's *Grokster* opinion. The CBS Defendants call FrostWire a "fork of the LimeWire source code" that is "practically indistinguishable" from LimeWire. Commenters on the CBS Defendants' website, Download.com, further describe FrostWire as "Like An Updated Version Of LimeWire," a "Great program to replace LimeWire," and "just as good as LimeWire, maybe better."

The CBS Defendants' Participation In And Profiteering From Infringement

- 40. Download.com, found at http://download.cnet.com, is one of the CBS Defendants' stable of websites. As the name implies, Download.com offers programs and applications for download. In addition to this service, the site also provides reviews written by CNET editors, allows program-specific comments from users, and is organized in such a way as to maximize a user's ability to find and obtain copies of the program or application they desire.
- 41. Software publishers must be approved to have their software listed on Download.com. In order to do so, they first go through an application process on Upload.com, found at https://upload.cnet.com. On this site, the CBS Defendants

advertise that software publishers should "[p]romote your software on the *largest distribution network in the world*." As they further state, "Upload.com is the central destination to submit and promote your software on CNET Download.com and other sites in our growing distribution network."

- 42. After a software publisher creates a developer account, which requires Download.com staff approval, they may submit their program for review. In this application, the publisher categorizes the program and fills out a detailed explanation of its features and purpose. After reviewing this application, Download.com's staff decides whether to permit the program on Download.com and where to place it on the website.
- 43. As developers release new versions of their software, they must also update their application to Download.com. Included in this update are explanations of new features, new functionality, improvements in user interface and experience, and any other difference between the new and previous version. As with the initial application, the Download.com staff reviews and decides whether to allow the listing.
- 44. At each step in the initial application and subsequent update process, Download.com possessed the ability to refuse to list the publisher's software, thereby conferring upon Download.com the ability to supervise and control any infringing activity taking place on its website. If Download.com staff did not believe the software should be accepted, they could either outright refuse to list it or suggest changes to the program itself. At no point was Download.com obligated to list programs submitted for approval to Upload.com. Further, Download.com was within its full rights to *remove* listings at its discretion.
- 45. The CBS Defendants generate revenue from Download.com in several ways. First, software publishers have the option to pay for a "Basic" and "Premium" account on Upload.com. Although there is also a "Free" account, the former two types of account offer increased benefits for a monthly subscription fee.

1.4

-21

- Second, companies may advertise directly with Download.com and seek to place their ads on popular download listings. Third, the CBS Defendants advertise their other websites on Download.com, driving traffic and revenue to those sites. Fourth, the CBS Defendants offer a program called Pay-Per-Download ("PPD"), which they push heavily on Upload.com and which offers several unique options.
- 46. PPD is described as a "performance-based program that allows you to increase downloads by up to 150 percent, while maintaining control of your costs." Participants in the program obtain a "top-five 'sponsored' listing" for their product in their respective Download.com category, out-of-category promotional rotation on Download.com pages, including on "post-download pages and other placements in [Defendants'] network," and 10 additional keywords to enable Download.com users to find the publisher's program. Participants also have the option to pay only for initiated downloads from unique users and the ability to choose "the bid amount and monthly spending cap for your campaign."
- 47. PPD is designed to offer adaptable advertising options for software publishers and generate strong cash flow for the CBS Defendants. On information and belief, several P2P client publishers, including the LimeWire Defendants, used and use the PPD program and generated substantial revenues for the CBS Defendants. In addition, due to P2P clients' popularity, publishers of other types of software advertised heavily on P2P download screens, thus generating additional revenue streams for the CBS Defendants due to P2P client listings on Download.com.
- 48. Download.com hosted copies of LimeWire for download on its servers. It also has variously hosted other such notorious infringers as Napster, Morpheus, KaZaA, BearShare, and iMesh. Today, even after the United States District Court's recent infringement findings and permanent injunction against LimeWire, Download.com *still* hosts download links for several P2P clients. Upon information and belief, the CBS Defendants have generated and continue to continue to generate

4

5

11

12

13

14

16

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

27

28

substantial fees from the P2P client publishers themselves and advertisers who wish to have their programs listed on P2P client download screens. The CBS Defendants also generate revenues by cross-promoting their websites on P2P client download screens.

- 49. Because the CBS Defendants own the "largest [download] distribution network in the world," they were particularly valuable partners in the dissemination and popularity of various P2P client infringers. LimeWire, which was one of Download.com's top downloaded programs, owed its success to the distribution it received through Download.com. Upon information and belief, approximately 95 percent of LimeWire downloads occurred via Download.com. In fact, LimeWire's own website redirected users to Download.com when they attempted to download the client. Other infamous P2P client publishers included similar redirects from their home websites for downloads of their programs.
- 50. The CBS Defendants also worked with LimeWire and other P2P publishers to provide software that maximized infringement. For each version of LimeWire, for example, Download.com staff corresponded with the LimeWire Defendants' representatives regarding the features in the client program. features demonstrated that LimeWire was explicitly designed for copyright infringement. For example, LimeWire (a) included search capabilities that focused on music title, artist, music genre, and other identifying factors of copyrighted sound recordings; (b) provided a "preview" function for the audio player so users could confirm that audio files they wished to download were the actual files they were searching for; (c) punished users who did not share enough files; and (d) in later versions, included a copyright filter but set the default upon installation to "off." Nevertheless, Download.com did not refuse to list LimeWire on its site and did not require that the LimeWire Defendants include filters or other protections against Other P2P clients underwent a similarly-streamlined copyright infringement. approval process for their infringement software.

. 1

10 11

12

13

1,4 1,5

16

17

18 19

21

20

22

24

25

2627

- 51. Although the CBS Defendants' distribution was critical to the infringing P2P systems' success, that was not the extent of their involvement. Defendants also actively promoted the P2P clients on Download.com and explained how users could infringe copyrights to the greatest degree possible.
- 52. One way that the CBS Defendants promoted copyright infringing P2Ps was to write "reviews" of the program and apply a rating on a five star scale. These reviews discussed the program's functionality, features, strengths, and weaknesses. In many instances, they also discussed the purpose of the program. The LimeWire Defendants similarly posted self-serving explanations of LimeWire on Download.com in order to promote the product
- 53. For example, the CBS Defendants posted a "CNET Editors' Review" on LimeWire on February 12, 2009. CNET editor Seth Rosenblatt noted from the start that LimeWire was a "post-Napster clone" that had evolved into a "leading role as the quintessential Gnutella [protocol] client." He also noted that "LimeWire is the highest-profile P2P application." At the time the CBS Defendants posted this review, they knew that LimeWire was embroiled in a lawsuit accusing it of massive copyright infringement, and that several other P2P clients had already been shut down for their own infringement. Nevertheless, the CBS Defendants did not issue a warning that users should refrain from using LimeWire to infringe copyrights. Instead, they pointed out that it was a useful Napster replacement and gave it four-and-a-half stars out of five.
- 54. As part of their review process, the CBS Defendants tested the software that they reviewed and, in the case of P2P clients, *infringed copyrights to do so*. In a video that Download.com posted to its website, the CBS Defendants again reviewed LimeWire, but this time demonstrated how it worked to Download.com users. As the viewer looks at the screen demonstrating a sample search, they see a list of copyrighted works, including those from several well-known musical artists. In this same video, Defendants admit that they downloaded files generated by these

-

searches to test the speeds LimeWire could deliver for users. The message of the video is clear: LimeWire is really great at infringing copyrights.

- 55. Download.com staff also acknowledged in public interviews that they knew P2P clients hosted on their site were intended for copyright infringement. In an interview discussing LimeWire, for example, Mr. Rosenblatt, the editor who wrote the previously-mentioned LimeWire review, noted that file sharing is primarily used for copyright infringement.
- 56. To this day, Download.com still hosts and promotes P2P clients that have been specifically designed for copyright infringement. For example, as noted previously, the CBS Defendants continue to provide a download for FrostWire, the open source version of LimeWire that is "practically indistinguishable" from its infringing cousin. As Download.com's users point out on the website, "Frostwire is basically Limewire replaced! ... I'm glad that this is a lot like Limewire because then I don't have to learn anything new." Although the CBS Defendants now include a belated, stock warning against copyright infringement on their website, this does not stop them from continuing their support of known infringers.
- 57. Plaintiffs' copyrighted works were and are available on P2P file sharing networks developed, distributed, and promoted by Defendants. Defendants accordingly are liable for copyright infringement.

COUNT 1

INDUCEMENT OF COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

- 58. Plaintiffs incorporate as if set forth herein the allegations made in Paragraphs 1 through 57.
- 59. Individuals using P2P client software that Defendants created, distributed and promoted, including LimeWire and others, have directly infringed and are directly infringing Plaintiffs' copyrights by, for example, creating unauthorized reproductions of Plaintiffs' copyrighted works and distributing copies

1516

17 18

19 20

22

21

2324

25 26

27

28

of such works to the public in violation of Plaintiffs' exclusive rights under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501.

- 60. Defendants are liable for inducing the copyright infringement of Download.com users. The CBS Defendants distribute and promote several P2P clients, including but not limited to the LimeWire client and current offerings such as FrostWire and iMesh. In distributing and promoting these P2P clients, the CBS Defendants inform and informed their users that the clients were optimized for the unauthorized copying and transmission of copyrighted sound recordings, thereby actively facilitating, encouraging and enticing Download.com users to engage in the infringement.
- 61. Defendants have induced and continue to induce infringement by, for example, aiming to satisfy a known source of demand for copyright infringement, including the market comprising users of other infringing services that were shut down or compelled to block access to Plaintiffs copyrighted works, such as Napster, Morpheus, Grokster, KaZaA, and now LimeWire.
- 62. Defendants further have induced and continue to induce infringement by, for example, continuing to provide downloads for P2P that clients that fail to block or diminish access to infringing material even though there are technological means to do so means that are known to Defendants and the P2P client publishers, and some of which have been employed by P2P clients who operate legally.
- 63. Defendants further have induced and continue to induce infringement by, for example, building and maintaining a business model to profit directly from the demand for infringing P2P clients.
- 64. Defendants' infringement is and has been willful, intentional, purposeful, and in disregard of the rights of Plaintiffs, and has caused substantial damage to Plaintiffs.
- 65. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement, Plaintiffs are entitled to damages and their costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees,

pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505. Defendants' conduct has caused, and unless enjoined by the Court, will continue to cause Plaintiffs great and irreparable injury that cannot be fully compensated or measured in money. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502, Plaintiffs therefore also are entitled to injunctive relief to prohibit further infringement of Plaintiffs' copyrights.

COUNT 2

CONTRIBUTORY COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

- 66. Plaintiffs incorporate as if set forth herein the allegations made in Paragraphs 1 through 65.
- 67. Individuals using P2P client software that Defendants created, distributed and promoted, including LimeWire and others, have directly infringed and are directly infringing Plaintiffs' copyrights by, for example, creating unauthorized reproductions of Plaintiffs' copyrighted works and distributing copies of such works to the public in violation of Plaintiffs' exclusive rights under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501.
- 68. Defendants are liable as contributory infringers for the copyright infringement committed via P2P client software that Defendants distributed, including LimeWire and others. Defendants have knowledge of the massive infringement that has occurred and continues to occur through P2P client software that they created, distributed and promoted, and Defendants have caused, enabled, facilitated, and materially contributed to that infringement.
- 69. Defendants' knowledge of infringement is both actual and constructive. Examples of this knowledge include written and oral statements by Defendants and user comments posted on Download.com; express comparisons of P2P clients to other notorious and illegally-operated P2P systems; and features of P2P clients Defendants discussed with the software publishers that demonstrated the client was optimized for finding and distributing popular sound recordings. All of these facts directly and circumstantially exhibit Defendants' awareness that the overarching

13

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

purpose and use of P2P clients they distributed and continue to distribute is to infringe Plaintiffs' copyrighted works.

- Defendants have caused, enabled, facilitated and materially contributed to the infringement complained of herein. Defendants have, in addition to the actions detailed above, provided the tools and instruction for infringement via P2P clients they distribute; directly and indirectly promoted the infringement via P2P clients they distribute; directly profited from their distribution of P2P clients; and refused to exercise their ability to stop the infringement made possible by their distribution.
- Defendants' infringement is and has been willful, intentional, 71. purposeful, and in disregard of the rights of Plaintiffs, and has caused substantial damage to Plaintiffs.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement, Plaintiffs 72. are entitled to damages and their costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505. Defendants' conduct has caused, and unless enjoined by the Court, will continue to cause Plaintiffs great and irreparable injury that cannot be fully compensated or measured in money. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502, Plaintiffs therefore also are entitled to injunctive relief to prohibit further infringement of Plaintiffs' copyrights.

COUNT 3

VICARIOUS COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

- 73. Plaintiffs incorporate as if set forth herein the allegations made in Paragraphs 1 through 72.
- Individuals using P2P client software that Defendants distributed, including LimeWire and others, have directly infringed and are directly infringing Plaintiffs' copyrights by, for example, creating unauthorized reproductions of Plaintiffs' works and distributing copies of such works to the public in violation of Plaintiffs' exclusive rights under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501.

- 75. Defendants are liable as vicarious infringers for the copyright infringement committed via P2P client software that Defendants created, distributed and promoted, including LimeWire and others. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants (i) have had the right and ability to control and/or supervise the infringing conduct of P2P client users, including without limitation through their ability to cut off distribution of P2P clients and listing on Download.com any and all versions of the software; and (ii) have had a direct financial interest in, and derived substantial financial benefit from, the infringement of Plaintiffs' copyrighted works via P2P clients that Defendants distributed.
- 76. Defendants have derived direct and substantial benefit from infringement in several ways, including without limitation (i) fees paid to Defendants by P2P client publishers through the PPD program and general Upload.com account registrations, (ii) advertisements P2P clients bought to run on Download.com for their software, (iii) advertisements other software publishers bought to run on P2P client download pages due to the popularity of the P2P clients, (iv) cross-promotion on P2P client download pages for other sites in the CBS Defendants' stable of websites.
- 77. Defendants' infringement is and has been willful, intentional, purposeful, and in disregard of the rights of Plaintiffs, and has caused substantial damage to Plaintiffs.
- 78. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement, Plaintiffs are entitled to damages and their costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505. Defendants' conduct has caused, and unless enjoined by the Court, will continue to cause Plaintiffs great and irreparable injury that cannot be fully compensated or measured in money. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502, Plaintiffs therefore also are entitled to injunctive relief to prohibit further infringement of Plaintiffs' copyrights.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray for the following relief:

- a. For damages, including without limitation, actual and statutory damages, for Defendants' infringements of Plaintiffs' copyrights;
- b. For injunctive relief requiring that Defendants and Defendants' agents, servants, employees, officers, attorneys, successors, licensees, partners, and assigns, and all persons acting in concert or participation with each or any of them, cease infringing, whether directly or indirectly, and cease causing, enabling, facilitating, encouraging, promoting, inducing, contributing to, and participating in the infringement of, any of Plaintiffs' respective copyrights;
 - c. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
- d. For Plaintiffs' costs and disbursements in this action, including reasonable attorneys' fees; and
 - e. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper and just

May 3, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

19

5

11

12

13

15

16

17

1.8

20

21

22

23

24

2

26

27

28

ali a li

Michael T. Zeller ABu

Adam B. Wolfson

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &

SULLIVAN, LLP

865 S. Figueroa

Los Angeles, California 90017

(213) 443-3000

(213) 443-3100 (facsimile)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

•		
1	DEMAN	D FOR JURY TRIAL
2	Pursuant to Federal Rule of C	Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiffs demand a trial by
3	jury.	tan di kacamatan di Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupatèn Band Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupatèn Banda
4	N	
5	May 3, 2011	Respectfully submitted,
. 6		
7		By Wicheel Julley
8		Michael T. Zeller
9.		Adam B. Wolfson QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
10		SULLIVAN, LLP
11		865 S. Figueroa Los Angeles, California 90017
. 12		(213) 443-3000
13		(213) 443-3100 (facsimile)
14		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
15		
16		
17		·
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
. 26	e en la companya de l	
27		
27		

COMPLAINT

Name & Address: QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP Michael T. Zeller (Bar No. 196417) 865 S. Figueroa, Los Angeles, CA 90017				
UNITED STATES CENTRAL DISTRIC		-		
ALKI DAVID	CASE NUMBER			
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	CV11	03807	DSF	Carlo
CBS INTERACTIVE INC		SUMMONS		
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summon must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached ☑ counterclaim ☐ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Mic 865 S. Figueroa, Los Angeles, California 90017 judgment by default will be entered against you for the reyour answer or motion with the court.	omplaint □ 2 of the Federal Ri chael T. Zeller	amended cules of Civil Procedur	complaint e. The answhose address	wer
MAY - 3 2011 Dated:	Ву:	IRISTOPHER PORER Deputy Clerk		
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States of 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	agency, or is an office		181 ed States. Allo	owed

SUMMONS

CV-01A (12/07)

Case 2:11-cv-03807-DSF -JC Document 1 Filed 05/03/14 Page 21 of 24 Page ID #:46

COMPLAINT

28

Case 2:11-cv-03807-DSF -JC Document 1 Filed 05/03/11 Page 22 of 24 Page ID #:47

Case 2:11-cv-03807 DSF -JC Document 1 Filed 05/03/11 Page 23 of 24 Page ID #:48

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

								···				
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check the See attached caption	ox if yo	u are representing yoursel	f □)		DEFEN	DANTS						
]					•			
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, A yourself, provide same.)	\ddress	and Telephone Number. I	f you are	representing	Attorney	s (If Known)					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>
Quinn Emanuel Urquha. 865 S. Figueroa, Los An (213) 443-3000		•				,						
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	ON (Plac	ce an X in one box only.)		III. CITIZENS	SHIP OF	PRINCIPAL I	PARTI	ES -	For Diversity Case	es Only		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff 23 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)				71si CML: 0				РТ Г	DEF			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defenda	nt 🗆	4 Diversity (Indicate Citi of Parties in Item III)	zenship	Citizen of Anoth	ner State		□ 2	□ 2	Incorporated an of Business in A	d Principal Plac	e 🗆 5	□ 5
				Citizen or Subje	ct of a Fo	reign Country	□ 3	□ 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in o	ne box o	only.)										
Proceeding 2 Remove State C		a □ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court		einstated or 🔲 5 copened	Transfer	red from anoth	er distr	ict (sp	Dist	trict Jud	peal to 1 lge from gistrate	1
V. REQUESTED IN COMPI	AINT:	JURY DEMAND: 1	Yes □	No (Check 'Yes	only if d	emanded in cor	nplaint	.)		5411011 1111	Gianate	Judge
CLASS ACTION under F.R.		,				DEMANDED I	_		INT: S			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Ci	te the U.	S. Civil Statute under wh	ich you a	are filing and writ	e a brief s	tatement of cau	se. Do	not ci	te jurisdictional si	tatutes unless di	versity.)	
17 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq., :	501 et se	eq.										
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Pla	ce an X	in one box only.)										
OTHER STATUTES		CONTRACT		TORTS		TORTS		077.00 0	RISONER	LA	BOR	MARKE.
☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust	,	Insurance		SONAL INJURY		PERSONAL	133		PETITIONS	□ 710 Fair L	bor Sta	ndards
□ 430 Banks and Banking	1	Marine Miller Act		Airplane Airplane Product	III 270	PROPERTY Other Fraud	ļc		Motions to	Act		
1 450 Commerce/ICC		Negotiable Instrument		Liability		Truth in Lene	lina		Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus	720 Labor/		
Rates/etc.		Recovery of	□ 320	Assault, Libel &		Other Person		1530	General	Relation		
☐ 460 Deportation		Overpayment &		Slander		Property Dan			Death Penalty	Report		
□ 470 Racketeer Influenced		Enforcement of	E 330	Fed. Employers' Liability	□ 385	Property Dan	nage 🖺				sure Act	
and Corrupt Organizations	L	Judgment	340	Marine	50 0 000 000 000	Product Liab	20.50		Other	□ 740 Railwa	y Labor	Act
3 480 Consumer Credit		Medicare Act Recovery of Defaulted		Marine Product		ANKRUPTCY			Civil Rights	□ 790 Other i		
1490 Cable/Sat TV	0 152	Student Loan (Excl.		Liability	LJ 422	! Appeal 28 U: 158	SU IL		Prison Condition			
☐ 810 Selective Service		Veterans)		Motor Vehicle	□ 423	Withdrawal 2	g 🏥	** *** ***	RFEITURE / ENALTY	□ 791 Empl.		
3850 Securities/Commodities/	□ 153	Recovery of	10 355	Motor Vehicle Product Liability		USC 157	111		Agriculture	Securit PROPERT	y Act V RICH	messa.
Exchange		Overpayment of	□ 360	Other Personal	No. 10 C	IVIL RIGHTS			Other Food &	X 820 Copyri	phis	# 633.4E
375 Customer Challenge 12		Veteran's Benefits		Injury		Voting	Į		Drug	□ 830 Patent	6	
USC 3410 3890 Other Statutory Actions		Stockholders' Suits	□ 362	Personal Injury-		Employment			Drug Related	□ 840 Traden		
3891 Agricultural Act		Other Contract Contract Product		Med Malpractice	. 1443	Housing/Acc	٥-		Seizure of	SOCIALS		Y
3892 Economic Stabilization		Liability	10 363	Personal Injury- Product Liability	ii 444	nimodations Welfare			Property 21 USC	□ 861 HIA (1		
Act	□ 196	Franchise	□ 368	Asbestos Persona		American wit	հ և		Liquor Laws	☐ 862 Black I ☐ 863 DIWC/		3)
3893 Environmental Matters	No.	EAL PROPERTY		Injury Product		Disabilities -			R.R. & Truck	(405(g)		
3894 Energy Allocation Act	F	Land Condemnation		Liability	1	Employment		650	Airline Regs	□ 864 SSID T		
1895 Freedom of Info. Act		Foreclosure		MIGRATION	∄ 🛭 446	American wit	h 🗀	660	Occupational	□ 865 RSI (40		
1 900 Appeal of Fee Determi- nation Under Equal		J	F .	Naturalization		Disabilities -			Safety /Health	FEDERAL		
•		Torts to Land Tort Product Liability		Application Habeas Corpus-	 	Other	10	690	Aher	□ 870 Taxes (intiff
		All Other Real Property	Į	Alien Detainee		Other Civil Rights				or Defe		. 24
State Statutes		i	□ 465	Other Immigration	n	ragina				□ 871 IRS-Th USC 76		26
				Actions	ļ							
						<u>Λ11</u>	1 4	· · · · · ·			····	
									1158		_	
						~ J.				√ !		

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:

Case 2:11-cv-03807-DSF -JC Document 1 Filed 05/03/11 Page 24 of 24 Page ID #:49

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named plaintiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b). County in this District:* California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named defendant. If this box is checked, go to item (c). County in this District:* California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country San Francisco County, Delaware, New York Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named defendant. If this box is checked, go to item (c). California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country San Francisco County, Delaware, New York Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named defendant. If this box is checked, go to item (c). California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country County in this District; California County outside of the tract of land involved. County in this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: He if yes, list case number(s):	as this action been p	previously filed in this court a	and dismissed, remanded or closed? ☑ No ☐ Yes				
(Check all boxes that apply) A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, lappenings, or events, or B. Call for determination of the same or substantial duplication of labor of la	VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have lf yes, list case number(s):	e any cases been p	reviously filed in this court th	nat are related to the present case? 🗹 No 🗆 Yes				
List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides.	(Check all boxes that apply) ☐ A. ☐ B. ☐ C. ☐ D.	Arise from the san Call for determina For other reasons Involve the same p	ne or closely related transacti- tion of the same or substantia would entail substantial dupli- natent, trademark or copyrigh	ally related or similar questions of law and fact; or cation of labor if heard by different judges; or t, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.				
County in this District.* List the County in this District.* County in this District. County in this	(a) List the County in this District;	California County	outside of this District; State	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides				
Elist the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named defendant. If this box is checked, go to item (e). County in this District.*	M Check here if the government, i	ts agencies or empl	oyees is a named plaintiff. If	f this box is checked, go to item (b).				
b) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named defendant. If this box is checked, go to item (c). County in this District; California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country San Francisco Country, Delaware, New York California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved. County in this District; California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved. County in this District; California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country. San Francisco County, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, New York California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country San Francisco County, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, New York California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country San Francisco County, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, New York California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country San Francisco Country, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, New York California Country outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country San Francisco Country, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, New York California Country outside of this District; State, Information Country Date Salvaria, California Country, Information Country Date Salvaria, California Country, Information Country								
County in this District:* California County outside of this District; Sate, if other than California; or Foreign Country San Francisco Country, Delaware, New York Classe of the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, Nate: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved. County in this District: California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country, Nate: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved. County in this District: California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved. California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country San Francisco County, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, New York Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Countfles Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Countfles Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Countfles Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Countfles Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Countfles Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Countfles Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Countfles Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Countfles Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Countfles Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Countfles Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa	Los Angeles County			Florida, Georgia, Illinois, New York				
San Francisco County, Delaware, New York County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved. County in this District: California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country Los Angeles San Francisco County, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, New York Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Counties tote: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land inched C. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER): Date 5/2/11 Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (IS-44) Civil Cover Sheed and the information contained herein acither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Donference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, velor and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.) Every to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases: Nature of Suit Code Abbreviation Substantive Statement of Cause of Action 861 HIA All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18. Part A. of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled mursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (AC U.S. C. 1935;Pf(b)) 862 BL All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B., of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 921) All claims filed by insurance where for disability inarrance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) All claims for entirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of th	(b) List the County in this District; Check here if the government, i	California County ts agencies or empl	outside of this District; State oyees is a named defendant.	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).				
County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved. County in this District:*	County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
County in this District.* California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country Los Angeles San Francisco County, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, New York Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Countles lote: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved (S. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER): Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheefard the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, velou and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.) Ley to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases: Nature of Suit Code Abbrevlation Substantive Statement of Cause of Action All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935Ff(b)) All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				San Francisco County, Delaware, New York				
County in this District:* California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country Los Angeles San Francisco County, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, New York Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Counties lote: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land imbared C. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER): Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheedard the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judic al Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, vehu and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.) Lev to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases: Nature of Sult Code Abbreviation Substantive Statement of Cause of Action All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled mursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b)) All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended, plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended. All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended.	(c) List the County in this District; Note: In land condemnation	California County o	outside of this District; State ion of the tract of land invol	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose, ved.				
San Francisco County, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, New York Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Counties lote: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved C. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER): Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheedard the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judic al Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, vehu and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.) Lev to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases: Nature of Sult Code Abbreviation Substantive Statement of Cause of Action 861 HIA All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A. of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935Ff(b)) 862 BL All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended, plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended. All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended.	County in this District:*							
Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheefard the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judic all Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, vehu and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.) Lev to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases: Nature of Suit Code Abbreviation Substantive Statement of Cause of Action 861 HIA All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 13, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b)) 862 BL All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923) 863 DIWC All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) 864 SSID All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended. 865 RSI All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended.	Los Angeles			*****				
Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheefard the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judic[ai] Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, vehus and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.) Ley to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases: Nature of Sult Code Abbreviation Substantive Statement of Cause of Action 861 HIA All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935Ff(b)) 862 BL All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923) 863 DIWC All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended. 864 SSID All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended.	* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernar Note: In land condemnation cases, us	dino, Riverside, V	entura, Santa Barbara, or S	San Luis Obispo Counties				
or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judical Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, vehu and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.) Nature of Suit Code Abbreviation Substantive Statement of Cause of Action 861 HIA All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b)) 862 BL All claims for 'Black Lung' benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923) 863 DIWC All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) 864 SSID All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended. 865 RSI All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42			I will	Date 5/3/11				
Substantive Statement of Cause of Action 861 HIA All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b)) 862 BL All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923) 863 DIWC All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) 864 SSID All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended. 865 RSI All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))	or other papers as required by law	v. This form, appro-	ved by the Judicial Conference	e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed				
HIA All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b)) BL All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923) BL All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) BL All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) BL All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended. BL All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42	Cey to Statistical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases						
Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b)) 862 BL All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923) 863 DIWC All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) 863 DIWW All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) 864 SSID All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended. 865 RSI All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42	Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action				
(30 U.S.C. 923) 863 DIWC All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) 863 DIWW All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) 864 SSID All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended. 865 RSI All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42	861	НІА	Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the					
amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended. RSI All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42	862	BL						
Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) 864 SSID All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended. 865 RSI All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42	863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
Act, as amended. RSI All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42)	863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
	864	SSID						
	865	RSI						